**Editorial comments:**  
  
1) Please see the attached word doc. In-text comments have been made; these require your attention. Please address the comments by editing your manuscript/figures. Please maintain the current format and track all your edits.

The article was edited based on the comments in the text.  
  
2) If you are re-using figures from a previous publication, please obtain explicit permission to re-use the figure from the previous publisher (this can be in the form of a letter from an editor or a link to the editorial policies that allows you to re-publish the figure). Please upload the text of the re-print permission (may be copied and pasted from an email/website) as a Word document to the Editorial Manager site in the "Supplemental files (as requested by JoVE)" section. Please also cite the figure appropriately in the figure legend, i.e. "This figure has been modified from [citation]."

The following link gave information on the re-use of already published figures:

https://zendesk.frontiersin.org/hc/en-us/articles/201945842-Do-I-need-permission-to-reproduce-figures-or-text-previously-published-in-Frontiers-  
  
In most cases, adaptation and reuse of figures is permitted provided that the authors and original source are appropriately credited and that no third-party licenses apply (please see the citation on the article on-line page).

Frontiers does not provide any formal permissions for reuse.

**Reviewers' comments:**  
  
  
**Reviewer #1:**  
The authors have addressed all of my comments and concerns. I think that the manuscript has greatly improved and contains a lot of useful information about EPM test. I suggest to avoid the repetition of the goal of the study in the first and the last paragraph of the introduction.

The last paragraph was modified.  
  
**Reviewer #3:**  
Manuscript Summary:  
This article describes the elevated plus maze (EPM) procedure, and tests various ketogenic treatments for anxiolytic effects in Sprague Dawley rats.  
  
Major Concerns:  
It's not clear why Figure 8 (chronic feeding) includes % times spent in arms while Figure 9 displays overall amount of time spent in the open arms. Unless there is a strong reason for not presenting a component of EPM data, display the same data (% time, distance, entries) for each type of treatment (chronic and sub-chronic). otherwise it looks as if data wasn't presented due to inconsistencies in results. Also, be specific when reporting results. Example: the KSMCT group spent a greater percentage of time in the open arms (Fig 8a)

In the referenced article only the results were presented that showed significant difference. Since we use only published results as demonstration in this article, we can present only what was published already in the referenced article. We think that the two figures represent different possibilities for data collection with the demonstrated method, without much repetition.

The figure legends were corrected to make them more specific.  
  
Minor Concerns:  
note that oral gavage stress may have influenced the unusually low % time in open arms in the control rats. In the future it may be useful to check % open arm times of rats not gavaged to ascertain if the procedure is causing undue stress  
  
Thank you for the helpful suggestion, we will do that in the future.

If you would like your personal information to be removed from the database, please contact the publication office.